

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,229	03/15/2007	Jakob Busch-Petersen	PU60604	1366	
20462 GlaxoSmithKl	7590 10/06/201 line	0	EXAMINER		
GLOBAL PA	TENTS -US, UW2220		MABRY, JOHN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
P. O. BOX 15: KING OF PRI	39 JSSIA, PA 19406-0939				
			1625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/581,229	BUSCH-PETERSEN ET AL.					
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JOHN MABRY	1625					
All Participants:	Status of Application:						
(1) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> .	(3)						
(2) Audrey Boyd.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 30 September 2010	Time: <u>11:20 am</u>						
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No							
Part I.							
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a							
Claims discussed: n/a							
Prior art documents discussed: n/a							
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:							
See Continuation Sheet							
Part III.							
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 							
/John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)				

Application No. 10/581,229

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called attorneys of record to inquire if Applicant planned to respond to Restriction Requirement dated 3/31/10. The last two corresponding attorneys of record were not available and phone numbers had been assigned to other employees. Messages were left. A response from Paralegal Audrey Boyd was received and Examiner was told that the corresponding attorneys were no longer with the company and the restriction requirement was planned to go abandoned.